

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
8 July 2004 (08.07.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/056193 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: A23J 1/20, A01J 7/00, 5/00, 11/00, A23C 7/00

(74) Agents: WILSON, Kathryn, Shelley et al.; Private Bag 3140, Level 12, KPMG Centre, 85 Alexandra Street, 2001 Hamilton (NZ).

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/NZ2003/000292

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(22) International Filing Date:
22 December 2003 (22.12.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
523369 20 December 2002 (20.12.2002) NZ

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): SEN-SORTEC LIMITED [NZ/NZ]; PO Box 20055, 533 Grey Street, Hamilton East, 2001 Hamilton (NZ).

Published:

— with international search report

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (*for US only*): CLAYCOMB, Rodney, Wayne [US/NZ]; 123 Grey Street, 2001 Hamilton (NZ).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: ON FARM SEPARATION OF MILK COMPONENTS

(57) Abstract: A method for on-farm separation of at least one milk component characterised by the steps of: (i) using an automated milking device to extract milk from a dairy animal, and(ii) passing the milk extracted through a separation device to remove said at least one milk component from the milk.

WO 2004/056193 A1

On-farm separation of milk components**TECHNICAL FIELD**

This invention relates to a method of milk processing. Specifically, this invention relates to the on farm separation of milk components.

5 BACKGROUND ART

In New Zealand, most dairy animals such as cows are generally milked twice daily, the milk being collected into a main storage vat before being transported in bulk to a milk treatment station.

The milk is then processed into a range of products, including pasteurised milk,
10 powdered milk, butter, cheese or milk derivatives.

The production of butter and cheese results in large volumes of by-products such as whey which can be further processed on-site or transported to another specialist treatment station. A number of components can be fractionated from such milk by-products including carbohydrates, whey protein bioactives such as lactoferrin and
15 so forth.

The standard processing of milk and milk by-products thus results in high transport and handling costs associated with processing such large volumes of milk.

Further, due to the time delay between the collection of milk on-farm and its subsequent processing off site, milk proteins such as bioactives may be subjected
20 to proteolytic degradation by enzymes such as proteases, or may be inactivated or lose activity through a number of other interactions.

The act of transporting and processing the milk may also denature a number of bioactive proteins through the physical handling or heating of the liquid.

To attempt to overcome some of these problems, NZ 280724 disclosed a process for the isolation of endogenous milk proteins by on-farm processing of milk using standard separation techniques such as chromatographic resins.

Such processes were useful in the separation of bioactive proteins in the minimum
5 amount of time, ensuring minimal degradation or loss of activity of the bioactive proteins.

However, while these methods work well in an experimental situation, the volume of milk collected during a standard milking often meant such standard separation techniques were not optimal.

10 Chromatographic resins are primarily designed to process small volumes of liquid, usually at low flow rates to ensure good component separation. Increasing the flow rate not only reduces the degree of separation but may damage the resin or chromatographic column. As large amounts of resin would be required to cope with normal milking volumes without overloading, these techniques may be
15 prohibitively expensive.

All references, including any patents or patent applications cited in this specification are hereby incorporated by reference. No admission is made that any reference constitutes prior art. The discussion of the references states what their authors assert, and the applicants reserve the right to challenge the accuracy and
20 pertinency of the cited documents. It will be clearly understood that, although a number of prior art publications are referred to herein, this reference does not constitute an admission that any of these documents form part of the common general knowledge in the art, in New Zealand or in any other country.

It is acknowledged that the term 'comprise' may, under varying jurisdictions, be
25 attributed with either an exclusive or an inclusive meaning. For the purpose of this

specification, and unless otherwise noted, the term 'comprise' shall have an inclusive meaning - i.e. that it will be taken to mean an inclusion of not only the listed components it directly references, but also other non-specified components or elements. This rationale will also be used when the term 'comprised' or
5 'comprising' is used in relation to one or more steps in a method or process.

It is an object of the present invention to address the foregoing problems or at least to provide the public with a useful choice.

Further aspects and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the ensuing description which is given by way of example only.

10 **DISCLOSURE OF INVENTION**

According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for the on-farm separation of at least one component from milk

characterised by the steps of

- (i) using an automated milking device to extract milk from a dairy animal, and
- 15 (ii) passing the milk extracted through a separation device to remove said at least one component from the milk.

According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for the on farm separation of at least one component from the milk of a lactating dairy herd,

20 characterised by the steps of

- (i) allowing animals to freely enter a milking system and be milked by an automated milking device, and

- (ii) passing the milk through a separation device to remove said at least one component from the milk.

The term "component" should be taken to mean any component present in the milk, such as endogenous milk proteins, fats, enzymes, cells, ions, nucleic acids and so forth.

In one preferred embodiment of the present invention the milk component separated is lactoferrin. However, this is given by way of example only and as such this should be seen as a limitation on the present invention in any way.

The term "automated milking device" should be taken to mean any automated or robotic device designed to milk a dairy animal. A range of automated and robotic milking systems are known in the art and could potentially be used in the present invention. For ease of reference throughout the specification, the automated device will herein be referred to as a robotic milking device, though this should not be seen as a limitation in any way.

The term "milk" should also be taken to include colostrum or milk from late lactation as well as milk from mid lactation or sub-fractions of milk taken during part of a milking.

The term "dairy animal" will herein be referred to as a cow. However, this should also not be seen as a limitation for it is anticipated this method will also be applicable to other milking animals such as goats, sheep and so forth.

The term "separation device" should be taken to mean a device utilising any separation technique known in the art, such as ultrafiltration, chromatographic separation, dialysis and so forth, or a combination of such techniques. Once again, these are given by way of example only and should not be viewed as limiting in any way.

It is anticipated a range of separation techniques could be employed depending on the component of interest and that this would readily be understood by a skilled addressee. For example, chromatographic separation may encompass a range of techniques, including by not limited to ion-exchange, reverse phase or affinity
5 chromatography.

The separation device may be a modular cartridge type unit which incorporates a medium for removing specific milk components. A plurality of different cartridges may be used, whereby milk from a given animal may be passed through a different cartridge to that of another animal.

10 The term "medium" can be defined as anything which imparts different flow rates on the basis of a compound's physicochemical properties. The medium may be a chromatographic resin, porous bead, gel, viscous solvent, membrane or so forth.

In situations where the separation device is able to separate a number of components substantially simultaneously, the elution of the components from the
15 matrix will preferably also isolate the components from each other.

In some embodiments of the present invention, the milk may be passed through a series or array of cartridges to remove a number of components from milk.

Once the cartridge has processed a given volume of milk, it can be removed and substituted for a fresh cartridge. The removed unit can then be treated to remove
20 the bound milk components, or stored in a refrigerated unit for subsequent collection and/or processing.

Preferably once the components have been eluted from the cartridge, the cartridge can be recharged, available for another separation.

In New Zealand, herds are typically milked twice daily in order to obtain maximum

milk yields balanced with labour costs. As such, a large volume of milk is collected from each cow at each milking.

In order to reduce the amount of time taken to milk the entire herd, a large number of individual stalls are often required to allow a number of animals to be milked
5 substantially simultaneously.

Previous on-farm separation methods disclosed by NZ 280724 were able to remove a number of targeted milk components, but while they worked well in experimental situation, the volume of milk required to be processed during standard twice daily milking presents a number of difficulties.

10 The separation techniques utilised are limited in both the volume and also the rate at which milk can be processed at any one time. As such, this could result in long delays during standard twice daily milking, and require large volumes of chromatographic resins to prevent overloading.

In many situations it is thus desirable for components to be separated before milk
15 enters the bulk milk line. The smaller volume of milk needing to be processed ensures a better degree of component separation in the minimum amount of time, and also reduces the likelihood of the separation device being overloaded.

To be effective however, separation devices thus need to be incorporated into each stall of a milking system, which at present would make them prohibitively
20 expensive for a standard on-farm situation.

The advantage of robotic milking is that cows are milked throughout the day in small numbers, in contrast to the entire herd being milked at substantially the same time. While milking the entire herd together is usually the most time efficient method of milking, it is both labour and resource intensive, not only in the total
25 number of hours worked, but also the time of day the animals must be milked.

By using a robotic milking device, cows are able to be milked at any time of the day. A cow is able to freely enter a milking system a number of times during the day rather than waiting for the twice-daily milking, reducing the volume of milk taken from the cow at each milking and thus the amount needing to be processed

5 at any one time.

Further, as the whole herd is not being milked at once, only a limited number of stalls and separation devices may be required.

As the milking of the herd is thus staggered throughout the day, there is sufficient time to process each volume of milk and recharge the separation device before the

10 next milking occurs. Alternatively, two or more separation devices could be installed, allowing one device to process a sample while the next animal is milking. As only small volumes of resin may be required, the cost of such separation techniques become accessible to on-farm situations.

Further, as an operator is not required to be present at all times, there may be

15 considerable savings in labour costs.

Robotic milking also allows individual udder sections to be milked. For example, lactoferrin is known to be produced in high levels in mastitic milk. As such, milk from a mastitic udder quarter could be passed through a separation device to remove the lactoferrin and/or contaminants such as somatic cells or antibiotics,

20 allowing the remaining milk to be diverted back into the main milk flow or alternatively be directed to waste.

As small volumes of milk at low flow rates are produced at any one time, more specialised extraction and fractionation systems may be used, improving the quantity and purity of the milk components separated.

25 Robotic milking also allows a mixed herd of cows to be milked at once, whereby

milk from cows known to produce high levels of lactoferrin may be processed through a separation device, while the milk from cows with low levels of lactoferrin can be collected direct to the main milk storage vat.

Animals may be identified by usual indicia, or alternatively by way of an electronic
5 identifier or chip.

In addition to separating endogenous bioactive components from milk, the method of the present invention will also be able to remove components unacceptable in milk. These include somatic cells, antibiotics, hormones and so forth. Currently if milk is found to be contaminated with these components it is dumped, with the
10 farmer being required to pay substantial penalties.

The present invention can also be used to remove endogenous milk components from bovine milk (such as beta-lactoglobulin and lactose) to make such milk acceptable to consumers with allergies to dairy products.

Another application of the present invention includes the processing of milk from
15 transgenic animals which produce a specific protein in their milk. As the protein of interest is known, a specific separation device or protocol could be designed to isolate the protein of interest, without altering the standard milk composition.

Early removal of bioactive milk components minimises the degradation of these components and any loss of activity that usually occurs during standard milk
20 processing procedures. Further, immediately isolating the compounds of interest reduces the amount of time the components may be subjected to enzymatic or microbial degradation whilst being stored.

The present invention also encompasses separation devices, automated milking devices and milking systems configured for use in the on-farm separation of milk
25 components.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

Further aspects of the present invention will become apparent from the following description which is given by way of example only and with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

- 5 Figure 1 shows a diagrammatic layout of milk flow into and out of a robotic milking stall in accordance with one preferred embodiment of the present invention;
- Figure 2 shows a diagrammatic layout of milk flow in accordance with another preferred embodiment of the present invention; and
- 10 Figure 3 shows a diagrammatic layout of milk flow in accordance with a third preferred embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As defined above, the present invention has sought ways to improve the on-farm separation of milk components by utilising automated milking technology.

- 15 Non-limiting examples of the invention will now be provided.

A trial for on-line capture of lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase from raw whole milk was conducted as part of an on-line fractionation project using an automatic milking system.

Method

- 20 Milk from an individual cow was diverted via a valve into a holding bucket (similar to a milk holding can). Approximately 600 mL of swelled active resin, SP Sepharose™ Big Beads (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) was present in the bucket. This was manually mixed for 10 minutes to allow for adsorption of

the target proteins. After 10 minutes the milk was passed at 1 L/min through a 20 µm fluted filter cartridge in a transparent housing AP11T (Aqua-Pure, Filtration Technology, East Tamaki, Auckland).

5 The capture of milk target milk proteins (lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase) was undertaken at the Greenfields site of Dexcel Limited. A Fullwood Merlin Milking Robot (Fullwood Limited, Shropshire, England) with fully automated control and data management system, and traffic control (Fusion Electronics, Diksmuide B-8600, Belgium) was used.

10 The resin with captured proteins was transferred to the laboratory for further processing.

Retrieval of captured protein

The retrieval of captured protein was performed using batch processing of the resin in the laboratory using 8 L of 10 mM phosphate buffer solution in 3 steps of 1 x 5 L and 1 x 2 L and 1 x 1 L steps. This was followed by 2 x 1 L washes with 0.1
15 M NaCl in phosphate buffer solution.

The elution of the adsorbed proteins was performed using s steps consisting of 1 x 1L of 0.25 M NaCl in phosphate buffer followed by 1 x 1 L of 0.8 M NaCl in phosphate buffer solution.

20 The resin was subjected to further clean up steps using 1 x 0.5 L of 1.0 M NaCl, 1 x 0.5 L of 1.0 M NaOH, followed by 2 x 1 L washes with Milli-Q water, 2 x 0.5 L buffer before being stored in 20 % ethanol.

Resin preparation

The resin was supplied as a swelled resin in 0.2 M sodium acetate and 20 % ethanol. The resin was equilibrated to a pH of 6.7 using phosphate buffer.

Chemicals and buffers

Buffer: 10 mM mono and dibasic sodium phosphate (equilibration buffer)

1.0 M NaCl solution (elution buffer)

1.0 M NaOH solution (cleaning and regeneration of resin).

5 Step elution buffers

Step 1) Buffer + 0.25 M NaCl

Step 2) Buffer + 0.8 M NaCl

Samples

Samples for raw whole milk (feed in) and processed milk (outflow) were analysed
10 for pH, fat, protein, lactose, total solids (FT120), lactoperoxidase activity and
lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase (HPLC).

Results

A total of 8.1 litre of milk was processed. The milk pH at the time of processing
was 6.88. The initial temperature of the milk was 37 °C which dropped to 32 °C
15 after processing.

FT120 (Fourier Transform Infrared) analyses completed by Dexcel milk
laboratories gave the milk composition percentages: fat, 4.53; total protein, 3.67;
casein, 2.73; lactose, 4.45 and total solids, 13.47.

The lactoperoxidase concentration (mg/mL) as calculated activity, using the ABTS
20 assay is summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Lactoperoxidase concentration in feed and elutes measured by the ABTS activity assay

Sample	Concentration mg/mL	Purity (x 10 ⁻⁵)	Recovery of lactoperoxidase (%)
5 Milk (feed in)	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.75	--
Elute 1 (0.25 M NaCl)	7.2 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.96	13.8
Elute 2 (0.8 M NaCl)	3.2 x 10 ⁻³	8.72	61.5

- 10 The recovery of lactoperoxidase as calculated from elute 1 and 2 was 75.3 % from 8.1 litres of milk.

The purity was calculated by the following expression:

$$\text{Purity} = \frac{\text{mg of protein (lactoperoxidase)}}{\text{mg of total protein}}$$

- 15 Analyses were also undertaken to quantify the lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase concentration in feed and elutes. Fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) analyses of samples for lactoperoxidase and lactoferrin using 5 mL HiTrap desalting column and 1 mL Resource S column.

- 20 Total protein in milk and elutes were calculated using BCA protein assay kit (Novagen, Wisconsin, U.S.A.). Table 2 summarised results, which have been used to calculate the protein purification achieved.

Table 2:

Sample factor	Lp & Lf	Total Lp & Lf	Total protein ¹	Purity ²	Purification
	(mg/mL)	(mg)	(mg/mL)		
5 Milk (feed in)	0.14	1119.4	36.4	0.0038	1.0
Elute 1	0.34	338.1	0.343	0.9911	261
(0.25 M NaCl)					
Elute 2	0.38	380.0	0.424	0.8952	236
(0.8 M NaCl)					

10 ¹ Total protein includes the concentration of all protein in a given sample type.

² Purity is reported to 4 decimal places since the purity of lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase was much lower in milk as compared to the eluted fractions.

$$\text{Purification factor} = \frac{\text{purity in elute}}{\text{Purity in feed (milk)}}$$

15 The yield or recovery of lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase was 64%

Lactoperoxidase assay (ABTS)

Aim: To measure lactoperoxidase activity using ABTS substrate.

Reagents

20 ABTS diammonium salt (2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid))

10 mM NaH₂PO₄ / Na₂HPO₄ for sample buffer and dilution of samples

100 mM NaH₂PO₄ / Na₂HPO₄ for reaction mixture

Reaction mixture solutions

Note reaction mixture solutions are prepared in 100 mM phosphate buffer.

0.5 M ABTS (0.22 g/ 8 mL phosphate buffer)

3 mM H₂O₂ (4uL H₂O₂/13.03 mL phosphate buffer)

5 1 mg/mL Lactoperoxidase standard

(serial dilutions performed on this with 100 mM phosphate buffer to get absorbance reading between 0.5 and 1.0 delta Abs to achieve best calculation of comparative IU/mL).

Reaction mixture

10 A 750 uL phosphate buffer (100 mM phosphate)

B 100 uL ABTS (50 mM or 0.5 M)

C 100 uL of 3mM H₂O₂

Eg ratio: 7.5 A, 1.0 B, 1.0 C.

Sample analyses

15 Add 950 uL of reaction mixture to a cuvette, set UV reference, and then add 50 uL of sample.

UV measurements

Parameters

UV-delta data (measures changes in absorbance)

20 Wavelength = 436 nm

Delay 5 s

Sampling interval 30 s

Duration 160 s

Factor 1

- 5 Measure changes every 30 seconds for 2.5 minutes. (The most stable values are averaged to achieve a Δ Abs value).

Absorbance readings in the range of 0.5 and 1.0 give the best reflection of activity range, or the activity could be too fast or too slow to give accurate reading and reflection of activity.

10 Calculations

Specific activity (SA) = IU activity /(mg/mL)

$$\text{IU/mL} = \frac{\Delta \text{ Abs}}{\epsilon} \times \text{df} \times \frac{\text{total volume of mixture}}{\text{assay volume}}$$

- 15 ϵ Extension coefficient for ABTS is 29.3

df dilution factor

Total reaction volume = 1000 μ L (750 + 100 + 100 + 50)

Results for absorbance specific activity calculation for lactoperoxidase

(Sigma product # L 8257)

	Δ Abs	concentration (mg/mL)	Dilutions	IU
	0.104	0.10	1:10	70.98
5	2.816	0.01	1:100	149.20
	1.274	0.067	1:150	130.44

The 100 and 150 X dilutions yield stable changes in absorbances and were within the activity range on UV. Therefore the activity calculated from the average of these two dilutions is 140.

10 (Sigma activity given as 80-150 units).

Figure 1 shows the milk-flow into and out of a robotic milking stall (1). The milk is transferred from the cow (not shown) to a holding vessel (2), then either pumped out of the holding vessel (2) through to the separation device (3) or bypassed (4) around the separation device (3). Then, the milk is transferred to a bulk holding
15 tank (5), whilst the separated fraction is retained at the separation device (3).

Figure 2 shows a schematic layout of a robotic milking stall (1) with two collection (2a and 2b) and separation devices (3a and 3b), whereby one device (2a and 3a) can be operating whilst the second (2b and 3b) is collecting milk from the next animal. This would be in the interest of higher throughput. Alternatively, each
20 device could place the first milk from one animal into one collection/separation unit (2a and 3a) and then later milk portions from the same animal into the other (2b and 3b). This could be useful for components that are higher in the later portions, such as lactoferrin. Alternatively, this device could place milk from one or more

udder teats into different collection/separation units. This could be useful for components that are higher in one quarter, such as a quarter having mastitis and, therefore, higher immunoglobulins.

Another variation on Figure 1 could be multiple separation devices (3), useful for
5 separating multiple components from the same animal's milk, as shown by Figure 3.

Aspects of the present invention have been described by way of example only and it should be appreciated that modifications and additions may be made thereto without departing from the scope of the appended claims.

WHAT WE CLAIM IS:

1. A method for on-farm separation of at least one milk component characterised by the steps of:
 - (i) using an automated milking device to extract milk from a dairy animal, and
 - (ii) passing the milk extracted through a separation device to remove said at least one milk component from the milk.
2. A method for the on-farm separation of at least one component from the milk of a lactating dairy herd characterised by the steps of:
 - (i) allowing animals to freely enter a milking system and be milked by an automated milking device, and
 - (ii) passing the milk through a separation device to remove said at least one component from the milk.
3. A method as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2 wherein said at least one component separated is lactoferrin.
4. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein the automated milking device is a robotic device.
5. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein the dairy animal is a cow.
6. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 5 wherein the separation device includes ultrafiltration.

7. A method has claimed in any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the separation device includes chromatographic separation.
8. A method has claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7 wherein the separation device includes dialysis.
9. A separation device configured for use in a method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 8 wherein the separation device is formed from a modular cartridge unit incorporating a matrix for removing at least one specific milk component.
10. A separation device as claimed in claim 9 wherein a plurality of separation device cartridges are employed to remove one or more milk components.
11. A separation device as claimed in claim 9 or claim 10 wherein the separation device is configured to enable for substitution or replacement of cartridges.
12. A separation device as claimed in any one of claims 9 to 11 wherein the separation device is configured to enable the cleaning or elution of cartridges.
13. A milking system incorporating the separation device as claimed in any one of claims 9 to 12 into each stall of the milking system.
14. A milking system as claimed in claim 13 wherein a plurality of separation devices are installed, at least one operational, one recharging.
15. A milking system as claimed either claim 13 or claim 14 wherein animal identifiers determine which separation device is used.
16. An automated milking device for use in a method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 8.

17. An automated milking device as claimed in claim 16 configured to divert the milk extracted to the separation device.
18. A method for on-farm separation of at least one milk component substantially as herein described with reference to and as illustrated by the accompanying description and drawings.
19. A separation device substantially as herein described with reference to and as illustrated by the accompanying description and drawings.
20. An automated milking device substantially as herein described with reference to and as illustrated by the accompanying description and drawings.

10/539424

Figure 1




Figure 2





Figure 3



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NZ2003/000292

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER												
Int. Cl. ⁷ : A23J 1/20, A01J 7/00, A01J 5/00, A01J 11/00, A23C 7/00.												
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC												
B. FIELDS SEARCHED												
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) SEE ABOVE												
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched SEE BELOW												
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) WPIDS, MEDLINE, AGRICOLA (milk, separate, automatic)												
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT												
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.										
X	NZ 280724 (DEC International NZ Limited) 23.12.1996 (Whole document)	1-20										
Y	WO 1996/011568 (Maasland NV et al) 25.04.96 (Whole document)	1-8, 16-20										
Y	WO 1996/ 001040 (Maasland NV et al) 18.01.96 (Whole document)	1-8, 16-20										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex												
<p>* Special categories of cited documents:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>"&" document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family	"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention											
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone											
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art											
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family											
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed												
Date of the actual completion of the international search 26 March 2004		Date of mailing of the international search report - 1 APR 2004										
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Authorized officer Philippa Wyrdean Telephone No : (02) 6283 2554										

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NZ2003/000292

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 3,946,113 (Seiberling, DA) 23.03.76 (Abstract; Col 4, lines 8-12; Claims)	1-8, 16-20
Y	US 4,075,196 (Badertscher, E et al) 21.02.78 (Abstract; Examples)	1-8, 16-20
Y	US 4,018,752 (Buhler, M, et al) 19.04.77 (Whole document)	1-8, 16-20
Y	WO 1994/008450 (United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority) 28.04.1994	1-8, 16-20
Y	EP 1,138,192 (DeLaval Holding AB) 04.10.2001	1-8, 16-20

Information on patent family members

PCT/NZ2003/000292

Patent Document Cited in Search Report				Patent Family Member			
WO	9611568	EP	0741512	NL	9401681	US	5865138
WO	9601040	CA	2170046	EP	0716566	EP	1120033
		EP	1120034	NL	9401113	NZ	288747
		US	5784994	US	6009833		
US	3946113	CA	966665	DE	2341863	GB	1414929
		US	3829584				
US	4075196	AR	211689	AU	81880/75	CA	1058952
		CH	587021	DE	2431228	ES	436337
		FR	2273481	GB	1479822	JP	51019164
		NL	7505649	ZA	7502614		
US	4018752	AR	210843	AU	71585/74	BE	817248
		CA	1045627	CH	571317	CH	578309
		DE	2412118	DE	2431227	FR	2239208
		GB	1477018	IN	139652	NL	7410186
		PH	11995	SU	697037	US	4125527
		ZA	7404679				
NZ	280724						
WO	9408450	AU	26907/92	EP	0616492	GB	2276067
		GB	2276069	US	5664521		
EP	1138192	AU	52187/01	US	2003154924	WO	0174147

END OF ANNEX